Pleas

App. F. IX-4

1928.

File No. 36-28

Serial Nos. 6 to 9

Previous References
(LB.)
L.B. 36-28 81 5-
" 58-27 8m 163
" 200-27 8m 2,3,5,6+7.

Later References
LB 35-29 (11-16)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for December 1929

SUBJECT

Petition of the Mendy Merchants protesting against the construction of the Dumtam Dist. Board office building on Municipality's Chattram compound.

---

8. L. 3677 dy 21-11-29 The D. C. Dumtam Dist:

Directs that the proposal for the construction of the Dt. Bd. office building on Municipality's Chattram Compound is approved and a fresh plan subd. to Govt: for approval.

Encl
8/1/30

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

ORDERS.

OFFICE NOTE.

Submitted.

This is a petition from the Mandi merchants of Tumkur addressed to the Dewan. It would appear that the District Board are contemplating on erecting a building to locate their office on the site of Muniamistry's Chattram at Tumkur acquiring the present Chattram building. But they say that the site is suitable for putting up a Bank building for the Bank of Mysore which now situated far away from the town and is very inconvenient for the merchants of place to transact their business quickly. It is therefore prayed that the Chattram building may be acquired by Government and given away to the Bank of Mysore for erecting a Bank building early. For orders.

27/5

To Secretary

28/5

C.S.C.

L.S.G.

11.6.29.

Submitted with a draft for approval

26/6/29

May the Secretary to D.C. be requested. There was a Kathari pertain premises person reported the acquired this premises. That also may be reported

28/6/29

General and Revenue Secretariat

LB File No. 5347/Reg 36

App. F. III—2] of 1928

Serial No.

Chrs 36-20-5R

The draft has been suitably revised and the file referred to is put up

29.6.29

I think another representation in regard to the use of the present site for the Dist. Board Chatt Office building has been received from Mr D.S. Mallappa, on which a report has been called for. That letter may also be sent up with this petition & consolidated information, and the D.C. asked to submit a report in the matter early, in consultation with the Dist Board.

Red up

Letter no: dated 23/6/29 from Mr D.S. Mallappa Esq 3/7/29
Pipline on the same subject
Second Member's orders on the petn.
Write to D.C. for a report
Ltr to C.S.B 27/c.
Draft written added put up for approval
5-7-29

Done

7 166 & 1117/29. To D.C. Chamarajanagar for a report in consultation with Executive Engineer

Letter no. dt. from Dt. Engineer states that for reasons given the site already acquired for the building is the most suitable

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 20 JULY 1929 L. S. G. BRANCH

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-1-29

Serial No.

Flags E & F.

7. A site known as the Immigration Secretary's Quarters to the east of the Rly Station has already been acquired for the Lunatic Asylum Building & an estimate for Rs 20,000 has also been sanctioned for the building my objections having been taken to the site selected, the D.C. States that at his request he nominated (has) selected three sites for the building viz 1. A site S.W of Jail Lunatic Asylum
2. An encumbered land in Krishnarajendra extension
3. [the present site viz] Immigration Secretary's quarters

the Minutes of the D.T.B. after personally inspecting the 3 sites. (have) selected No. 1 but the same is said to be required for a new Jail Office & is not available (so it is stated that) the only available site is the one already acquired [& that the area of the adjoining site belonging to the Chinnaswamy Mudaliar be considered now or taken on. It is also stated]

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

+ Five sheets put up

That a fresh plan has been prepared reducing the depth of the building in front. This has not been dealt with in the letter + may be made before, under the ~~under the~~ the site already acquired has perhaps to be finally approved & early orders issued for ~~about~~ the commencement of the work about which the Colony Engr. wants early orders (vide linked file) submitted for orders

KB 6-11-28

8) There is no objection to the Bank of Madras Merchants for the grant of this site for a Bank building. Vide Secretariat Note 1 of the file.

[illegible] 7/11

9) As regards the location of a site for the Dist Bd office building the recommendation of the Deputy Comr. may be approved. The Dep. Comr. may also be asked to select a suitable site for a Bank building in consultation

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-1-29

DEWAN'S OFFICE
14 NOV 1929
No. 2071

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
9 NOV. 1929
3744

Serial No.

with the Nandi merchants.

Second member

Dewan.

(9) The D.C's proposal may be approved. Municipal site is quite suitable for the D.C's office & it is not necessary to acquire any portion of the adjacent building (Mr Chinnappa) for the purpose.

A separate suitable site may be chosen for the Bank if necessary.

(11) No plan seems to have been sent by the C. Architect. No proposal during 4 years has been made

(12) It is to be brought to the notice of the Sales Inspectors &c &c

(13) Draft Ordinance. In para 5 of the D.C. Ba's letter it is stated that among the Sirkar Ordinances regarding Kanakkupillai is Nanjiya Mudaliyar's Chairman with the adjoining houses of Mr. Chinnappa

Note—Contn. Sheet

P.W.D. 01634—G.P.B.—30,000—20-1-29.

Serial No. 9

Letter No. L. 3677 of 21.11.29 to the D.C. Tumkur

Directs that the proposal for the construction of the D.C.B.D. office building on Meniya Maistrys Chattram Compound is approved, the site is quite suitable for the purpose, it is not necy. to acquire any portion of the adjacent building belonging to Mr. Chinnappa, and a fresh plan may be subs. as the design has some bad defects

Memo No. 3678 of 21-11-29 - to the Chief Engr.

for copy -

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

TEL. ADDRESS: "DESAMUDRE" TIPTUR

D. S. MALLAPPA
MERCHANT AND MEMBER OF THE
MYSORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

No.....

Dated 23rd June, 1929.

To

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
27 JUNE 1929
No. 1067

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
28 JUNE 1929
No.

GEN. & R. V. - CTT.
69
3 JULY 1929
L. S. G. BRANCH

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Second Member of Council,
B a n g a l o r e.

My Dear Sir,

I learn that the Tumkur District Board are desirous of constructing the office Building of their Board in a most filthy and uncommodious site. The sanitary and Town Planning Engineer has taken very serious objection about the site they have now selected and has written to the Board more than twice regarding the matter. In spite of that, his objections have not been placed before the Board for the consideration of the members. I do not know why they should have withheld the information contained in the letter of the Sanitary and the Town Planning Division Engineer, from the knowledge of the Board. Anyhow, the local merchants of Tumkur who have got their places of business near the site now selected for the District Board office take very serious objection for that Building to be put in the midst of their locality. They want to extend their places of business by constructing some more buildings in the site selected for the office building and in the adjacent sites. From the economic point of view also, the acquisition of this site and the adjacent sites adds

~~interest~~ of the Board. Moreover, I understand the Dewan was pleased to select a better site for this office. I do not know why the Board should decide about going against the selection of the Dewan and the Sanitary Engineer and also against the interests of the merchants. I request you both in the interests of the Board and also in the interests of the mercantile community to kindly intervene and direct the Board to select a better site as per the directions of the Sanitary and Town Planning Engineer.

Yours sincerely,

Devallappa

Pet. No. 2265

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 3.1.5. *1929.*

5547

14 JUN 1929

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the ~~Chief~~ General Secretary to Government,

By Order,

Private Secretary to the Dewan.

COURT FEE
MYSORE
TWO RUPEES

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
OF MYSORE.
Date of Receipt
Petn. Regr. No.

B. S. Shatrunjaya Rao. Cloth merchant Tumkur.

H. C. Rod — aji. Rao. Cloth. Merchant. Tumkur

K. S. Easwara Rao — Cloth Merchants

K. S. Gangadhara

H. M. Hombayyappa

K. Rudramma Merchant Tumkur.

P. Siddalingaiah

P. V. Ranganna. Piece Merchant

G Sivarao

J. N. Kempanhonnia h. merchant,

J. R. Abdul [illegible] cloth merchant.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Syed Usman general merchant

T. Venkatappa

T.S. Sreenivasa Reddy

Adam Saheb Merchant

A.K. Ahmed

T.N. Puttaraikar merchant.

C.C. Gattappa mudaliar

G.A. Guraiya & sons mandy merchant

Channaveerappa for H.A.P. mandy Tumkur.

G. Chandrappa monday

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

L B 36-28-7

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. L 166 / Gen Poli 11-7-1929.

The accompanying Petition Registered No. L 5324 dated 31.5.1929 addressed to the Dewan received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from ~~the~~ Mano i Merchants of Tumkur City praying that the Municipality Chatiram building, where the DCB are contemplating to Construct the District Board office building may be acquired by Govt and given over to the Bank for a Bank building, as the locality is best suited for business transactions.

Govt may consider from the D.C. whether Diplm requiring that the DCB may be directed to select a better site as per direction of the Savy Sqr, for the construction of DCB office building.

is ~~sent~~ forwarded, ~~together~~ with ~~its enclosures~~ compliments to the Deputy Commr Tumkur District for disposal in consultation with the DCB

By Order,

[illegible] Secretary. to Govt

BANGALORE,

.....19..... } 8/2/16

8/2 29/6

[P. T. O.

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8-8-28

No.

Enclosures. one Copy of resolution
one plan of enclosing } sent separately
one site-plan } by registered post.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.
Camp-Badavanahalli,
Dated, ~~Tumkur~~ the 27th Oct. 1929.

FROM

G. B. HEBLIKAR, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,
Tumkur.

To

B. Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
General Secretary to the Govt. of H. H. the
Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to the marginally noted communications re: the construction of the District Board Office at Tumkur, I have the honor to submit the following report:-

1. General Secretary's no L 8327 dated 20.6.1929.
2. General Secretary's no L 166/LA 36-25-7 dated 11-7-29
3. General Secretary's no L 327/LA 35-29-2 dated 17-7-1929.

It is seen from the notes left behind by Mr. K.V. Anantaraman that during his visit to this District in September 1926, the Dewan suggested that the place containing an old dilapidated building and known as Muniya Paistry's Chattram to east of the to the Railway Station Road in front of the Taluk Office compound may be acquired for the construction of the District Board Office. The suggestion was placed before and approved by the District Board on 12-5-1927. The site in question was then acquired under Government Order No.1625-6/I.B.58-27-2 dated 15-9-1927 and handed over to the District Board on 10-2-1928. A plan and estimate of the proposed building were prepared by the Executive Engineer and sent to the Chief Engineer ~~in consultation with the~~ along with a site plan on 23/28-2-28 for approval and sanction of Government. The Chief Engineer in consultation with the Consulting Architect prepared a fresh plan and submitted it to Government for sanction. (vide Chief Engineer's letter No.9018 dated 14-5-28). The fresh plan and estimate were again placed before the District Board and approved. The Government sanctioned the estimate in G.O.No.2775-77 dated 20-10-28 and the execution of the work was entrusted to the Public Works Department. The Chief Engineer accepted the tender of Mr. Basappa in March 1929.

Fro

WD 546—GPB—50,000—4-9-29

192

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
Tumkur

~~LB 35-29-5~~

To The General Secretary to
the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

LB 36-28-9.

2102

Dated 27.10.29 4 NOV. 1929

Despatched 3.11.29 L. S. G. BRANCH

Received

General No. SR 18
No. 29-30

Enclosure No. Three of which
2 sent separately
by registered post

QR

Submits report regarding the title
for the District Board office Tumkur

2 From Mr. Anantaraman's letter to the General Secretary dated 15-8-27 and from subsequent correspondance it is quite clear that the site selected by the Dewan in 1926 is none other than the -- Muniya Maistry Chattram which has been acquired for the purpose. Mr. Marker who was present during the tour of the Dewan did not - raise any objection and the Consulting Architect approved of it - when he was consulted by the Chief Engineer.

3 Soon after I assumed charge of the District, I inspected the spot when Mr. J. Chinnappa the owner of the adjacent building happened to be present. As I considered that the depth of the sanctioned building did not allow much space on either side, I requested the Executive Engineer to send me the site plan and the original plan which he did on 23-4-29. A modified plan reducing the depth of the building with more open space on both the sides was then - prepared by the Executive Engineer and again placed before the -- District Board at their meeting held on 14-5-29. While the matter was/still under correspondance, I received a letter from Mr.Marker dated 30-4-29 objecting to the building being put up on the site and -- suggesting that building should be put up on the site adjacent to the - Mohamaden Burial Ground. With Mr. Marker's letter before the after full discussion of the matter on 14-5-29 the Board unanimously resolved that the building should be put up on the present (Muniya-Maistry's Chattram) site which had been acquired for the purpose. The Board further resolved at the same meeting that the whole or a portion of the adjoining house belonging to Mr. J. Chinnappa should also be acquired so that the building may have more compound. Mr. Chinnappa attended the meeting and began to beseech the members -- not to acquire his building. He was told that he should not address the members there as he was not a member of the District -- Board. He seems to have carried on his campaign vigorously outside with success as is evident from the fact that some of the -- members who had voted for the acquisition of Mr. Chinnappa's -- house sent a requisition for a special meeting to reconsider the matter.

4 In my letter to Mr. Marker dated 1-6-29 I explained to him all the circumstances of the case and also sent him a copy of the resolution of the District Board dated 14-5-29 but he still wanted

wanted the matter to be again placed before the Board for reconsideration.

5 At my request, Mr. Krumbeigel, Consulting Architect, visited Tumkur on 18-7-29 and inspected all the places suggested by Mr. Marker and selected three alternative sites viz, - (1) a site in the South-western compound of the Taluk Office, (2) an Inam land by the side of the road leading to the Krishnarajendra Extension from the District Office and (3) the present (Muniya Maistry's Chattram) together-with the adjoining house belonging to Mr. Chinnappa.

6 On the requisition of the members of the District Board -- above referred to, a special meeting was held on 19-7-29 to consider this subject once again. The members visited the three alternative sites selected by Mr. Krumbeigel and resolved that the -- building should be constructed in the South-western portion of the compound of the Taluk Office just opposite the site already acquired for the purpose. A copy of the resolution is also enclosed. The Board rejected the second alternative site as being too far away fro. the District Office and as being otherwise unsuitable for the construction of the office.

7 The site in the Taluk Office compound selected by the Board is not available as it is already congested with a number of offices and the particular plot pointed out by Mr. Krumbeigel is required -- for a new Taluk Office building as the existing Taluk Office building has to be given over to the Magistrate and Munsiff Courts and the --- District Police Superintendent's Office which requires more accommodation. The Third Member of Council during his inspection on 9-8-29 objected to the construction of any but a Government building in the Taluk Office compound. The second alternative site has been rejected by the Board itself. It is now proposed to construct the Girls' High School on this site. The District Board Office has therefore perforce to be constructed on the 3rd and last alternative site selected by Mr. -- Krumbeigel viz, - the one that has already been acquired for the -- purpose. It is right opposite the place selected in the Taluk Office compound by the Consulting Architect and the District Board at their last meeting. The site already acquired possesses all the advantages the Taluk Office compound possesses as the two are separated only by the Bangalore-Shimoga Road. I dare say all the advantages of situa-

situation were taken into consideration by the Dewan when he selected the site in 1926. The construction of the District Board Office -- will considerably improve the appearance of the locality and the -- Square that has already been formed there.

4 As two out of three sites selected by Mr. Frumbeigel are not available, the District Board Office has to be constructed on the -- Muniya Maistry's Chattram compound already acquired. The depth of the building may be reduced so as to allow sufficient space around. A fresh plan prepared by the Executive Engineer is herein enclosed. - If necessary, the whole or a portion of the adjoining house belonging to Mr. Chinnappa may be acquired now or later on, as may be deemed - necessary. I might add that if a portion of the adjoining house is acquired, a clear space of 40 or 50 feet can be left on all sides of the building.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G B Hebbar

Deputy Commissioner,
Mulkur District.

Extract from the proceedings of the special meeting of the District Board, Tumkur, held in the Deputy Commissioner's Office on Friday the 19th July 1929 at 3 P.M.

Subject No. 1.

Letter dated 28th June 1929 from certain members of the District Board requesting that a special meeting may be convened to consider their proposal to abandon the site acquired for the construction of the District Board Office and to accept the site that may be selected by the Executive Engineer, Town Planning and Sanitary Division.

Resolution:- i) The members of the Board went round and saw all the alternative sites. They are in favour of constructing the District Board Office building in the southwest corner of the Taluk Office compound i.e. just opposite to the place now acquired for the building. (21 for 8 neautral).

ii) The services of the watchman engaged to guard the place may be dispensed with.

" E x t r a c t "

K Venkatarama

For President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

ASD.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section LB
File No. 36-28
Serial No. 9
No. L3677/2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *192*

To
The D.C. Tenkasi

Date of issue 21-11-29

Signed by M K Sayer

Sir

With reference to your letter No S.R-68 dt 27-10-29 about the constitution of the Dist Board of Tenkasi, I am directed to state that your proposal for the constitution of the Local Fund Board on Immunity basis by Chairman Committee

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

already acquired for
the purpose, is approved.
The site is quite suitable
for the Board offices and
it is not necessary to
require portion
of the adjacent building
belonging to the Chairman
Govt do not approve of the
removal of the plan
for the building required
with your letter as the
design has some bad
defects. I am therefore
to request that a fresh
plan may be got from
the Consulting Architect
to Govt & the same submd
to Govt for approval.
The plan returned with
your letter is returned
herewith

Chairman Memo No 3678 8/11/29

Copy to Chief Engr
for info & in continuation
of this office
No L2807 dt 10.10.29 &
this reply to your letter
dt 9.11.29.

Secy